BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

REEL.

MACK ODD, ROSSI EVEN - EPYRGHT

encircle us and crush us by much planent. I think that would be the method they had in wind.

SEVANCID:

I take it from what you say siv, that we don't have to fear a world run from one sentral setteboard, whether Moscow, or Pieping, or any other one blace?

DULLES:

No, I don't think they expect to schiave enything of that kind. I think they look forward by a world of what they call a "Socialist States" of which, according to their theory, which isn't the actuality,/ms live in peace--- that that is the way that the thing would be accomplished.

SEVAREID:

Mr. Dulles, when you say that you think we're going to win this thing, do you have in mind the collapse of the Soviet system, or maintenance of the status quo? TEXT.

MACK ODD, MOSSI EVEN CPYRGHT

Supplied St

to their teachings, that our system is better than their system—brings more happiness, brings more joy to the people of the world, gives a fuller life and therefore, it will eventually be proved to be the better system. They think just the opposite.

SHVAPEID:

Fir, when Khrdebebev was ever bure on his big trip---

Did you ever have an opportunity yourself to talk with homeholey when he was over here?

DULLES:

The first a shortone, but a very interesting one, the wight that he was entertained by President Kisenhower at the Whitehouse--I was there.

SEVARID:

Fr. Dulles, some people, like Senator Coldwater and subsets say that we eaght to state officially at the highest level, that our policy is to win this cold war. They claim we don't say this. Way don't we?

HELL

MACE ODD, ROSSI KVEN

CPYRGHT

DULLES!

Well, I had rather shought we did. It seems to us, it's inherent in what has been said by the Fresidents I've recently served under, Trusten, Risenbewer, Remady--- that we were going to win this. Of course, they don't--- do not went to give the implication that we prepose to win it on the field of battle, because we all know that there's no winner in a nuclear war, or even a World War. Certainly no winner in a nuclear war.

SEVAREID:

FULLES:

You think that Mr. Khrushchev is in a herry, that he would turned over in his life time?

thrushchev is now sixty-eight years old. We could differ to his life expectancy, but whether it's five or ten years, or rather his period of power-e-say it's five years or more---whatever it may be, but they don't support to win the world in five years, or explains like that.

-40-

WELL

MACK ODD, ROSSI EVEN

<u>CPYRGHT</u>

33/16410

What is it that Khrushchev wents in Berlin? Does he just went we out of there?

DULLES:

Yes, Khrusheisav thinks that Berlin is a meance to his upsition in the east.

SEVARRID:

Does he look at Berlin as a lever to a settlement of the whole German question in his favor?

WILLES:

Was, he doos.

SEVAREID:

Wall, Mr. Dalles, we used to talk about liberation in Seat Europe, or containment. Now we talk about contains or giving concessions and compromising, a kind of a pleasment surrender. Mayon't they really won a stage of this argument?

WILLES:

to. I don't think we've given up the idea of liberation but we've given up the---and we're---practically---we never had the idea at that they were going to be libera by additory mates.

REZI.

MACK COD, ROSSI EVEN

CPYRGHT

SEVAREID:

Sir, many people in this country are frustrated that can't seem to get on the offensive, the initiative in this cold war. How can we do that?

MILES:

well, we probably are on the offensive more than many people realise.

SEVAREID:

ir. Dalles, what do you think the Bassians went with Cuba? Is it just to be a distrection for us?

CULLES:

realine that that can be quite a base, you know. [C